BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)	
STEPHEN ANDREW LEVINE, M.D. Certificate No. A-22570))))	No. 13-95-53779
Respondent) _)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on August 4, 1997

IT IS OR ORDERED August 1, 1997.

By:

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

Chair

Division of Medical Quality

Inhall O

ORIGINAL

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 2 LAWRENCE A. MERCER, Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 50 Fremont Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California Telephone: (415) 356-6259 5 Fax (415) 356-6257 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 **BEFORE THE** 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation Case No. 13-95-53779 11 Against: OAH No. N1997050040 12 STEPHEN ANDREW LEVINE, M.D. STIPULATED SETTLEMENT 13 412 Red Hill Avenue AND San Anselmo, CA 94960 **DISCIPLINARY ORDER** 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-22570, 15 Respondent. 16 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the 18 parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following 19 20 matters are true: An Accusation in case number 13-95-53779 was filed 21 with the Division of Medical Quality, of the Medical Board of 22 California, Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Division") on 23 February 25, 1997, and is currently pending against Stephen 24 Andrew Levine (the "respondent"). 25 The Accusation, together with all statutorily 2.6 required documents, was duly served on the respondent and 27

respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 13-95-53779 is attached as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

3. The Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Daniel E. Lungren, by and through Deputy Attorney General Lawrence A. Mercer.

- 4. The respondent is represented in this matter by Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Elliott, Robert J. Sullivan, Esq., and John P. Wagner, Esq., whose address is 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- 5. The respondent and his attorneys have fully discussed the charges contained in Accusation number 13-95-53779, and the respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 6. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-22570.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his license. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of

witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights.

- 8. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. 13-95-53779 without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, respondent agrees that, if proven at a hearing, complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation.

 Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as set forth below.
- 9. The admissions made by respondent herein are for the purpose of this proceeding and any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings. The disciplinary charges against respondent do not arise from an invasive procedure and Section 16.01 of the 1996/97 Budget Act does not apply to this settlement.
- the charges set forth in the Accusation as well as any investigations pending or information known to the Division at the time of execution of this Stipulation. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

Certificate number A-22570 issued to Stephen Andrew Levine is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for 4 years on the following terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- 1. ACTUAL SUSPENSION As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 30 days commencing within 15 days after the effective date of this decision.

 Respondent stipulates and agrees that the Board may assign an effective date three days from the date of adoption of this agreement. Respondent waives any statutory or other rights he may have to a later effective date.
- of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in the Physician Prescribing Course offered by the University of California, San Diego, Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation. The Division shall waive

this requirement upon receipt of proof within 60 days of the effective date of this agreement that respondent has completed the substantial equivalent.

all of the following: 1) the name and address of the patient, 2) the date, 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved, and 4) the indications and diagnoses for which the controlled substance was furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, and shall make them available for review by his practice monitor as well as for inspection and copying by the Division or its designee, upon request.

and pass an oral clinical exam in addiction medicine, psychopharmacology and prescribing practices administered by the Division, or its designee. This examination shall be taken within five (5) months after the effective date of this decision. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three (3) months. If respondent fails to pass the first and second examination, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within two (2) years after the effective

date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay the costs of these examinations within ninety (90) days of the administration of each exam.

If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

5. MONITORING Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice for at least two years and continuing thereafter at the discretion of the Division on the recommendation of the practice monitor. The practice monitor shall provide quarterly reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- 6. PRACTICE RESTRICTION Respondent shall not engage in the treatment or counseling of any person for a drug addiction disorder until such time as respondent has completed the Physician Prescribing Course and Oral Competency Examination referred to above.
- 7. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of

medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

2.4

- 8. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 9. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent

shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

2.6

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. COST RECOVERY The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$5,000.00 for costs of investigation and prosecution within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute

a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

2.7

- associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the

Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorneys. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my practice of medicine, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily. I further agree that a facsimile of this signature page shall have the same legal effect as the original.

DATED:

STEPHEN ANDREW LEVINE, M.D

Respondent

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and approve of it as to form and content. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters therein with respondent Stephen Andrew Levine.

DATED: 6-27-97.

JOHN P. WAGNER

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of
the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California,
Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: June 24, 1997

DANKEL B. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California

LAWRENCE A. MERCER

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General 1 FILED of the State of California STATE OF CALIFORNIA LAWRENCE A. MERCER MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA Deputy Attorney General SACRAMENTO February 2019 9 California Department of Justice 50 Fremont Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94105 4 Telephone: (415) 356-6259 (415) 356-6257 Telefax: Attorneys for Complainant 6 REDACTED BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 13 95 53779 In the Matter of the Accusation 11 Against: 12 ACCUSATION STEPHEN ANDREW LEVINE 412 Red Hill Avenue 13 San Anselmo, CA 94960 14 License No. A22570 15 Respondent. 16 17 The Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director 20 of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and 21 brings this accusation solely in his official capacity. On or about July 14, 1967, License No. A22570 was 23 issued by the Board to Steven Andrew Levine (hereinafter 24 "respondent"), and at all times relevant to the charges brought 25 herein, this license has been in full force and effect. Unless 26 renewed, it will expire on January 31, 1998. 27

JURISDICTION

2.2

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and ordered to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
- B. Section 2242(a) provides that prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4211 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- C. Section 4211 provides that a dangerous drug is defined as any drug which is unsafe for self-medication and includes any drug or device which by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished by a laboratory pursuant to Section 4240 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 4. Section 16.01 of the 1996/1997 Budget Act of the State of California provides, in pertinent part, that:
- A. No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or

revocation due to a disciplinary action of the medical Board of California; and,

1.8

B. No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical service or other invasive procedure performed on any Medi-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the Board makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.

5. Section 125.3 provides:

- (a) That except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- (b) In the case of a disciplined licentiate that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.
- (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its

designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

- (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge where the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (e) Where an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licentiate to pay costs.
- (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
- (g)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licentiate who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licentiate who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for

the unpaid costs.

- (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
- (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
- (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.

DRUGS

- of the benzodiazepine class of drugs used in the treatment of seizures. It is classified as a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057(d), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4211. The medical profession is aware that clonazepam has some abuse potential and that at least some recreational abusers will seek this drug.
 - 7. Didrex is a trade name for benzphetamine

hydrochloride, a sympathomimetic amine. It is related to, and acts similarly to, amphetamine. It is classified as a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056(b)(2), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4211. The primary use of benzphetamine is as an appetite suppressant, but because it produces stimulant effects similar to those produced by amphetamine, the drug is regarded as having a substantial abuse potential. The medical profession is aware that some recreational drug abusers seek to obtain this drug.

1.8

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- patient known to him as "Description for 30 medical indication for a Klonopin prescription, and the issuance of this prescription constitutes a cause for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2242(a).
- 9. Respondent again saw "De Come" on August 30, 1993. On this occasion, respondent wrote two prescriptions for "Come," a prescription for 30 Didrex 50 mg. tablets, and a prescription for 50 2 mg. Klonopin tablets. Again, there was no medical indication for either prescription. In addition, no

symptom described by "Could in any way justify a prescription for Didrex, as there is no known relationship between this drug and any symptom described by "Corder."

2.5

10. Each of the prescriptions described above were written without medical indication and each separately constitutes a basis for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2242(a).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- prescription for 30 2 mg. Klonopin tablets for "Mark Verman"."

 In reality, "Mark Verman" is an alias for an undercover law enforcement agent. At the time this prescription was written and given to "Verman," no legitimate medical purpose had been identified for this prescription.
- 12. On January 27, 1994, respondent wrote another prescription for 30 2 mg. Klonopin tablets to "V.". "On this occasion, V. had indicated he wanted these tablets "for fun."
- prescription for 30 2 mg. Klonopin tablets for "Man Vallet"."

 Prior to this time, respondent had stated that he would no longer treat Man Vallet, as it appeared that Man Vallet had no legitimate medical need for the drug, and appeared to want the prescriptions solely for recreational abuse. Notwithstanding this statement by respondent, and respondent's stated intention that he would no longer prescribe for "Vallet," respondent wrote this prescription on March 21, 1995 at a time when "Vallet" presented no symptoms or other medical indication for this drug.

1	14. Each of the prescriptions described above were
2	written without medical indication and each separately
3	constitutes a basis for disciplinary action pursuant to Business
4	and Professions Code section 2242(a).
5	PRAYER
6	WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be
7	held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the
8	hearing, the Division issue a decision:
9	1. Revoking or suspending License Number A22570,
10	heretofore issued to respondent Steven Andrew Levine;
11	2. If probation is ordered as part of the decision,
12	ordering respondent to pay the costs of probation monitoring as
13	provided by Business and Professions Code section 2227;
14	3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual
15	and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this
1.6	case;
17	4. Taking such other and further action as the
18	Division deems necessary and proper.
19	DATED: February 25, 1997
20	
21	\mathcal{A}
22	Ron Joseph
23	Executive Director Medical Board of California
24	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
25	Complainant
26	
27	